

February 12, 1996 LB 1044

study, at the same time Al Abramson, at DMV, Department of Motor Vehicles, did a study of state agencies having to do with DWI treatment and other services in that field, and he found and he shared with us the overlap among the agencies as to treatment and services delivered to people who have a problem of DWI, or alcoholism, or drug problems and so on. So from that point of view, if each agency works with the other, and if they come under the purview of one board, or commission, or whatever you're going to call it, then I think that's a good idea, because the whole idea of state government is to deliver services efficiently, at the least cost, and to help the most people. So just those two concerns, to be sure that we are not misleading the taxpayers that tomorrow their taxes will go down because we have moved this bill, let's be sensible. In the long-run it may very well save money, I hope it does. But I just think up front we have to be straightforward about it so people understand what we're trying to do is to make state government work more efficiently. In the long-run we hope it saves money. The second thing is that I know that the front-line employees are involved at the moment, I hope that will continue because I think that's very important. It won't work if they are not involved. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Thank you, Madam President, members of the Legislature. I had wanted to ask Senator Wesely a question, and maybe I'll start with.... Oh, I'm sorry, Senator, I didn't see you over there.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WITEK: My question concerns Section 9 of the green copy of the bill. And your amendment does not affect this section, so we are reading exactly from the green copy. It's on page 8, Section 9. And it's where we're putting together the cabinet, the Policy Cabinet for this group. And I do see that in the amendment, in the committee amendment you have allowed that whatever they come up with will come back to the Legislature for approval. Is that in its entirety that it will come back to the Legislature? Nothing will be enacted this coming year? They will come back next year to the Legislature for complete approval of whatever they've enacted? Am I correct in that?